

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

WEDNESDAY, THE 18TH JULY 2007 / 27TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 22109 of 2007(F)  
-----

PETITIONER:  
-----

LOLA K.J.,  
LPSA, GLPS, CHENGALUR, TRICHUR DISTRICT,  
RESIDING AT THEKKEDAN HOUSE, CHENGALUR P.O.,  
TRICHUR.

BY ADV. SRI.K.JAJU BABU  
SMT.M.U.VIJAYALAKSHMI

RESPONDENTS:  
-----

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY  
SECRETARY TO GOVT., GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,  
GOVT. SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.
2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,  
TRIVANDRUM.
3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,  
TIRUR.
4. THE MANAGER,  
MODEL LPS, KOTTARAM P.O., VALANCHERRY,  
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.A.J.VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 18/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED  
THE FOLLOWING:

**A.K.BASHEER, J.**

-----  
W.P.(C)No.22109 OF 2007  
-----

Dated this the 18<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2007

**JUDGMENT**

The only prayer in this writ petition which is at the instance of a Lower Primary School Assistant working in an aided school under the management of respondent no.4 is to issue a writ of mandamus or such other appropriate writ or direction to respondent no.1 to take a decision on Exts.P5 and P6 revision petitions pending before the said authority in the light of Ext.P8 order issued by the Government.

2. The grievance of the petitioner appears to be that approval for her initial appointments in terms of Exts.P1 and P2 orders issued by the Manager, has been declined by the Controlling Officer without any valid or justifiable reasons. Petitioner has pressed into service Ext.P8 order issued by the Government in this regard. It is also brought to my notice that the subsequent order of appointment of the petitioner as per Ext.P3 had already been approved long back.

3. Anyhow, I do not propose to deal with the above contention in view of the sole prayer made in the writ petition.

4. In the above facts and circumstances, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to consider and pass orders on Exts.P5 and P6 revision petitions which are stated to be pending consideration before respondent no.1 as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Respondent no.1 shall ensure that the petitioner and the manager of the school are afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken in the matter. Petitioner shall produce a copy of the writ petition along with a certified copy of the judgment before respondent no.1 for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

**A.K.BASHEER, JUDGE**

jes